DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLC ATTORNEYS AT LAW TELECOMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

ORIGINAL

2120 L Street, N.W , Suite 520 Washington, D.C. 20037

Telephone (202) 296-8890 Telecopier (202) 296-8893

RECEIVED

December 10, 2003

DEC 1 0 2003

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Communications Commission Office of Secretary

Petition for Clarification or, in the Alternative, Waiver, filed November 26, 2003 Re:

CC Docket No. 96-128

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of the noted companies participating in the above-captioned Petition, we are transmitting the original signed declarations for the following companies:

Adams Telephone Co-Operative Brantley Telephone Company, Inc. Chibardun Telephone Cooperative, Inc. Cimarron Telephone Company Duo County Telephone Cooperative Grand River Mutual Telephone Corporation LaFourche Telephone Company LLC Margaretville Telephone Company, Inc. North Central Telephone Cooperative, Inc. **SEI Communications** Stayton Cooperative Telephone Co. United Telephone Company

When either the Petition or supplement thereto was filed, the declarations bearing a facsimile signature were filed with the Commission as an attachment.

Please associate this attachment with the captioned Petition.

Should you have any questions, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Moorman

Attachments

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

Date. 11/25	103	(signature)	
		(printed name	W Browner Sr.
		(Title)	ager
Company Name: _	Adams To	Kaplo.	e Co. Operation
Company Address: _	PO Bex	217	
	Golden,	TL	62339

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

Date: November	21, 2003			-5 We		lone.
•				(signature)		(
				Sue Moore		
				(printed name)		
				Office Man	ager	
				(Title)		
Company Name:	Brantley	Tele	phone	Company, Inc.		
Company Address	P.O. Box	255				
	Nahunta,	GA	31553			

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company

I do hereby declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best

of my knowledge, information, and belief, and that I am authorized by the Company indicated below to provide this Declaration.

Date 11-34-03 | Sieky S. Vergin (signature) |

CEO (Title) |

Company Name: Chibardun Telephone Cooperative, Iuc.

Company Address: 110 N 2nd Aue.

Dallas WI 54733

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

of my knowledge, information, and belief, and that I am authorized by the Company indicated below to

I do hereby declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best

Date: 11.25-43

Date: 11.25-43

Some Bulch

(signature)

Company Name: Company Name: Company Address: 160

101 Company STREET

MANN FOR 16

70 19444

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

I do hereby declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best

of my knowledge, information, and belief, and that I am authorized by the Company indicated below to provide this Declaration.

Date: 11/21/03 (signature)

(printed name)

(Title)

Company Name: 10/21/03

Company Address: 10/21/04

Company Address: 10/21/04

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

Date. <u>//-24</u> -	(signature)
	PENNETH P. ROBERTS (printed name)
	(Title)
Company Name	GRAND RIVER MUTUAL TELEPHONE CORP.
Company Address:	1001 KENTUCKY ST.
	PRINCETON, MO 64673

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

Date. 12.05.0	
	(signature) Jony Duet (printed name)
	President (Title)
Company Name:	LAFourte Telephone ampany LLC
Company Address	
	LAROSE
	LOUISIANA 20373

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

I do hereby declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best

of my knowledge, information, and belief, and that I am authorized by the Company indicated below to
provide this Declaration.
Date 11/24/03
(signature)
Donglas Hinkloy
(printed name)
Gon Man
(Title)
Company Name: Mangaretville Telephone Co INC
Company Address:
Manganotille NY 12455
·

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

•	clare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best rmation, and belief, and that I am authorized by the Company indicated below to n.
Date 11/24/03	(signature)
	F. Thomas Rowland (printed name)
	President + CEO (Title)
Company Name: _	North Central Telephone Coop. Inc.
Company Address _	872 E. Hwy. S2 Bypass
	P.O. Bex 70
	Lafagette, TN 37083

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

Date: 11-24-	.03	(signature	<u> </u>	merce
		C. Re (printed t	name)	NCC
		(Title)	RATION 1	MGR.
Company Name:	SEI (Commo	MILATIN	0 N S
Company Address:	14005	U.S. H.	GL WAY	€0 €.
	D.LLsbon	- IN	47018	
			,	

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a memorandum dated August 29, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

I do hereby declare under penalties of periury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best

The undersigned company is a rural telephone company that received a letter dated March 10, 2003 together with an attached invoice from APCC Services, Inc. on behalf of various Payphone Service Providers ("PSPs") that referenced the Federal Communications Commission decision from October, 2002 addressing compensation obligations to PSPs.

When dial around calls and subscriber toll-free calls are made from payphones located in the Company's service area, we automatically route those calls from our central office to the toll provider (the Regional Bell Operating Company or other Interexchange Carrier) assigned the access code or the 8XX number being dialed. This automatic routing is triggered by the end user dialing a "1" and occurs even where the call is ultimately terminated to an end user located within our service area. Once routed to the toll provider, however, the toll provider controls the routing of the call and how that call will be terminated. <u>Unlike the regional Bell Operating Company, our company is not an intraLATA toll provider.</u>

Accordingly, when calls are made from any pay station in our service area, it is not possible for our company to handle a call that is compensable. Unlike the regional Bell Operating Companies or other local exchange carriers that provide intraLATA services on a compensable basis, our company provides only originating access service to other carriers. With respect to the traffic associated with the invoice received from APCC, we conclude that the compensable calls were handled by the intraLATA toll provider that is assigned to the paystation; no compensable calls were handled by our company.

Date: 12/4/20	(mignature)
	HERBERT R. BIVENS
	(printed name)
	GEN. MANAGER
	(Title)
Company Name:	UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY
Company Address:	P.O. BOX 38
	CHAPEL HILL, TN 37034

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Thomas J. Moorman, of Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLC, 2120 L Street, NW, Suite 520, Washington, DC 20037, do hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing letter and attachments regarding the "Petition for Clarification or, in the Alternative, Waiver" was served on this 10th day of December 2003, to the following parties:

Thomas J. Moorman

William Maher, Chief Wireline Competition Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 (Hand Delivered) Qualex International 445 12th Street, SW Room CY-B402 Washington, DC 20554 (Hand Delivered)

Robert F. Aldrich Dickstein Shapiro Morin & Oshinsky, LLP 2101 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-1526